

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
ASHEVILLE DIVISION**

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 1:24-cv-00204

LOGAN VENCLAUSKAS,

Plaintiff,

v.

HILLMAN BEER, LLC;  
GREIG HILLMAN; BRADLEY HILLMAN;  
and DAVID MAY,

Defendants.

**CONSENT MOTION FOR  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO RESPOND  
TO DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO  
PLAINTIFF'S PARTIAL OBJECTION  
TO MEMORANDUM AND  
RECOMMENDATION**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b) and Rule 7.1(a) of the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the U.S. District Court for the Western District of North Carolina, Plaintiff Logan Venclauskas ("Plaintiff") moves for an order extending the period of time to respond to Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Partial Objection to Memorandum and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge. (D.E. 45).

This Motion is made on the following grounds:

1. On May 19, 2025, Magistrate Judge W. Carleton Metcalf submitted a Memorandum and Recommendations on Defendants' Partial Motion to Dismiss (D.E. 42).
2. On June 2, 2025 Plaintiff filed his Partial Objection to the Memorandum and Recommendations on Defendants' Partial Motion to Dismiss (D.E. 43)
3. Defendants Hillman Beer, LLC, Greig Hillman, Bradley Hillman, and David May ("Defendants") moved the Court, with Plaintiff's consent, for an extension of time of seven (7) days within which to file a response to Plaintiff's Partial Objection. Chief Judge Martin Reidinger

entered a text-only Order granting Defendants' Motion for Extension of Time through and including June 23, 2025.

4. On June 23, 2025, Defendants timely filed a Response to Plaintiff's Partial Objection (D.E. 45).

5. Currently, Plaintiff's Reply to Defendants' Response is due on June 30, 2025.

6. The undersigned counsel need additional time to prepare and serve a reply to Defendants' Response.

7. Pursuant to LCvR 7.1(b), Plaintiff conferred with Defendants' Counsel who kindly consented to the relief sought in this Motion.

8. Pursuant to LCvR. 7.1(c), no brief is required in support of this motion, as it is a timely motion for extension of time.

9. Pursuant to LCvR 7.1(g), no proposed order is being submitted with this motion.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the forgoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully request that this Court grant him an extension of 7 days to respond to Defendants' Response, thereby making his response due on **July 7, 2025**.

Dated: June 27, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Philip J. Krzeski  
Philip J. Krzeski  
**CHESTNUT CAMBRONNE, PA**  
100 Washington Avenue S, Suite 1700  
Minneapolis, MN 55401  
Telephone: (612) 339- 7300  
*pkrzeski@chestnutcambronne.com*

Scott C. Harris, NC Bar ID No. 35328  
**MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON  
PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC**  
900 W. Morgan Street  
Raleigh, NC 27602  
Telephone: (919) 600-5003  
*sharris@milberg.com*

Joseph M. Lyon\*  
Kevin M. Cox\*  
**THE LYON FIRM**  
2754 Erie Avenue  
Cincinnati, OH 45208  
Telephone: (513) 381-2333  
*jlyon@thelyonfirm.com*  
*kcox@thelyonfirm.com*

\* Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*

***Counsel for Plaintiff and the Putative Class***

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on June 27, 2025, I electronically filed the foregoing **Consent Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Partial Objection to the Magistrate Judge's Memorandum and Recommendation** with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

*/s/ Philip J. Krzeski*